



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/714,061 | 11/14/2003 | Xu Zuo | S104.12-0063/STL11496 | 3196 |
| 75 | 90 02/17/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| Fellers, Snider | , Blankenship, Bailey | CAO, ALLEN T | | |
| Bank One Towe | er | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 1700 | away | 2652 | | |
| Oklahima City, | OK 73102-8820 | DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | App | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/ | 714,061 | ZUO ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Exa | miner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Alle | n T. Cao | 2652 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Inunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause | OF THIS COMMU in no event, however, ma y and will expire SIX (6) if the application to becom | UNICATION. It is a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this is ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>01 Decem</i> | ber 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 19-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,10,13 and 16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>3,4,7-9,11,12,14,15 and 19-21</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | . 1 | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | | | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT | ΓΟ-152) | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | L10/28/08) | · — | | · = · · · · / | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/714,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 10, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oveyssi et al (US. 6,879,466 B1) in view of Oberg (US. 4,819,094).

Oveyssi et al discloses an actuator assembly having an actuator block (30, figure 2) including a body portion 32 and at least one actuator arm 34 extending from the body portion of the actuator block and the body portion including a damping assembly 62 coupled to the body portion as set forth in claims 1 and 10. Oveyssi et al also discloses a servo writing apparatus having a spindle assembly (figure 1) as recited in claim 10. Oveyssi also inherently discloses method steps as claimed including the step of securing the circuit board as recited in claim 16.

Oveyssi et al discloses there are relationship between the actuator block, the circuit board 30 and the damper 62 (see figure 1); however, Oveyssi et al does not clearly discloses that the damping assembly 62 interfaced between the actuator block and the circuit board 30.

Oberg discloses a disk drive having a damper 210 interfaced between the suspension 200 and the circuit assembly 204 of the disk drive.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange the location of the damper of Oveyssi et al such that

Art Unit: 2652

the damper is interfaced between the circuit board and the actuator block as set forth, supra as taught by Oberg.

The rational is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to rearrange the location of the damper of Oveyssi et al such that the damper is interfaced between the circuit board and the actuator block as set forth, supra as taught by Oberg to reduce the vibration between parts within the housing (this case between the circuit board and the actuator block, particularly) in order to reduce the vibration of the actuator assembly, thus improve read/write characteristics. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to rearrange the damper of Oveyssi et al to such location through an obvious rearrange of parts within housing by an engineering rearranging desire choices.

Regarding claim 2, Oveyssi et al discloses that the damping assembly includes at least one rigid body 70 coupled to the body portion of the actuator block.

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Oveyssi et al discloses that the damping assembly includes at least one damper pad 72.

Regarding claim 6, Oveyssi et al discloses that the damper pad 72 is viscoelastic.

3. Claims 3-4, 7-9, 11-12, 14-15 and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,061 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 and 19-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen T. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7569. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (7:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Cao

Primary Examiner

Mmlw

AC

February 14, 2006